# HEARD IN FRONT OF THE MÉTIS NATION BRITISH COLUMBIA'S SENATE

Appeal Name: Gauthier vs. MNBC Central Registry, 2-19-01-55-2-00054

Date: December 16, 2010 Senate Clerk: Thibeault Location: Kelowna

Between:

**Richard Raymond Gauthier** 

**Applicant** 

And

Métis Nation British Columbia (MNBC) Central Registry

Respondent

**Reasons for Decision** 

Residing Senators:

Senator Alan Edkins Senator Margaret Penner Senator Gerald Pope Senator Ron Snider Senator Philip Gladue



### Introduction

[1] On March 2, 2010 the applicant, Mr. Richard Raymond Gauthier received a letter from the respondent, the MNBC Central Registry, indicating that his citizenship could not be validated or verified based on the qualifiers for MNBC Citizenship. Specifically, the respondent's letter highlighted:

"In regard to your application for a Métis Nation British Columbia (MNBC) citizenship card, the MNBC's Office of the Provincial Registrar must inform you that based on the definition for the Métis, ratified in September 2002 by the Métis Nation General Assembly, MNBC cannot verify your genealogical connection to the traditional Métis homeland".

However, the applicant has requested the Senate to review the applicant's citizenship application package and all related materials and decide if the process and interpretations of the registrar was consistent with the intent of the "national definition" and the *MNBC Citizenship Act*.

[2] On October 23, 2010 the Senate met with the respondent representative in Kelowna to discuss particulars of the file for the applicant. As a result of discussion, the respondent was requested to carry out further research in regard to family names and possible Métis connections in the geographic area noted by the applicant for his ancestry. With this, the Senate had set aside any decision on the file until such time as the respondent could complete further research.

[3] The respondent has completed the research on the file and provided communication back to the Senate on the results of that research.

# Summary of the Case Law and MNBC Legislation

#### a) Canadian Law

[4] Subsections 35(1) and (2) of the *Constitution Act*, 1982, being Schedule B to the *Canada Act* 1982 (U.K.), 1982, c. 11 state:

35(1) the existing aboriginal and treaty rights of the aboriginal peoples of Canada are hereby recognized and affirmed.

#### Gauthier vs. MNBC Central Registry Page 3

- 35(2) in this Act, "aboriginal peoples of Canada" includes the Indian, Inuit and Métis peoples of Canada.
- [5] The definitive Supreme Court of Canada case setting out the requirements for establishing a Métis constitutional right is **R. v. Powley**, 2003 SCC 43, [2003] 2 S.C.R. 207. At paragraph 10, the Court defined the term "Métis" as it is used in s. 35, finding that while the term does not include all individuals with mixed Indian and European heritage, it does refer to:

...distinctive peoples who, in addition to their mixed ancestry, developed their own customs, way of life, and recognizable group identity separate from their Indian or Inuit and European forebears.

#### b) MNBC Legislation, Policies and Procedures

- [6] Section 61 of the **MNBC Constitution** states that a Métis means a person who self-identifies as Métis, is of historic Métis Nation Ancestry, is distinct from other Aboriginal Peoples and is accepted by the Métis Nation. The MNBC Constitution further states the following;
  - a) 61.1. "Historic Métis Nation" means the Aboriginal people then known as Métis or Half-Breeds who resided in Historic Métis Nation Homeland.
  - b) 61.2. "Historic Métis Nation Homeland" means the area of land in west central North America used and occupied as the traditional territory of the Métis or Half-Breeds as they were then known.
  - c) 61.3. "Métis Nation" means the Aboriginal people descended from the Historic Métis Nation, which is now comprised of all Métis Nation citizens and is one of the "aboriginal peoples of Canada" within Section 35 of the Constitution Act of 1982.
  - d) 61.4. "Distinct from other Aboriginal Peoples" means distinct for cultural and nationhood purposes.
- [7] Articles 2, 3 and 4 of the MNBC Citizenship Act further define the MNBC Constitution definition of Métis as stated above and more specifically the process in identifying citizens.

[8] Articles 6, 7 and 8 of the **MNBC** Citizenship Act highlight the roles and responsibilities of the Central Registry, Registry Office and the Registrar. It further states in 8.0 that the registrar must adhere to all policies and procedures developed by the MNBC. This includes the MNBC Guidebook, Central Registry Policy and Procedures and the Senate Policy and Procedures version 3.0.

[9] Section 6.2 of the **Senate Policies and Procedures ver. 3.0** highlights the process utilized when conducting a citizenship and/or central registry appeal.

# **Second Genealogical Opinion**

## a) Société historique de Saint-Boniface

[10] Mrs. Janet La France from the genealogical department of the Société historique de Saint-Boniface supplied a second professional genealogical opinion by letter received on October 4, 2010. Mrs. La France indicated the following;

"The information submitted by your client, Mr. Richard Gauthier, has been carefully reviewed. Unfortunately, though there is evidence of aboriginal ancestry on the side of Louise Desaulniers; We are unable to find a marriage between her and Jean-Baptiste Lacroix, to connect her beyond a doubt, to her presumed parents Louis Desaulniers and Marie Louise Solomon. However, even assuming that the connection is correct, nothing can be found to prove ethnic identification. Further more, census enumerations and other vital records pertaining to her son William and his descendants do not indicate any recognition of being culturally distinct or ethnically different than their European father. Therefore, unless further primary source documentation can be found to prove otherwise, your client does not connect into the Historic Métis Nation as they were then known or their homeland".

#### The Standard of Review

[11] The Senate's role is to ensure that all legislation, policies and procedures were adhered to and that the applicant has received a fair decision during the application review period. Since this appeal involves a question around the genealogical interpretation of the respondent, the Senate has ordered a second professional opinion to

#### Gauthier vs. MNBC Central Registry Page 5

assist in their review. Furthermore, the Senate will adhere to the citizenship and/or central registry appeal process highlighted in Section 6.2 of the **Senate Policies and Procedures ver. 3.0**. The Senate further understands that the onus to prove citizenship is the responsibility of the applicant, Mr. Gauthier, not the respondent, the MNBC Central Registry.

[12] The Senate has based this decision on the evidence supplied by the applicant and respondent and weighed this to the summary of case law at the time of the hearing.

# **Analysis**

#### a) MNBC Policy and Procedure Adherence

[13] The applicant did request that a review of the policies and procedures be conducted. However, the Senate, upon review, found that the MNBC Central Registry did not violate or over-look any policies or procedures.

#### b) Genealogical Interpretation

- [14] Both the MNBC Central Registry and the historique de Saint-Boniface indicated that they could not determine a link and/or ancestor that identified as Métis and resided within the Métis Nation Homeland.
- [15] Furthermore, while there was evidence supplied that indicated Mr. Gauthier had aboriginal ancestry on the side of Louise Desaulniers, there was not evidence to connect her to her presumed parents at the time. Without additional primary source documentation, the genealogical ancestry cannot be connected into the historic Métis Nation of the homeland.
- [16] Based on the information supplied, testimony and the genealogical opinions (MNBC Central Registry and the historique de Saint-Boniface) the Senate could not identify a genealogical connection to the Métis Homeland or the presence of a Métis ancestor in Mr. Gauthier's genealogy.

#### c) MNBC Legislative Adherence

[17] Mr. Gauthier fails to comply with three parts of the National Definition as specified in the *MNBC Citizenship Act*. Those being;

#### Gauthier vs. MNBC Central Registry Page 6

- i) Mr. Gauthier failed to supply the appropriate documentation that proves her historic Métis Nation Ancestry.
- ii) Mr. Gauthier failed to supply the appropriate documentation that proves any Métis ancestry that connects to the Historic Métis Nation Homeland.
- iii) Mr. Gauthier failed to supply the evidence which would identify a historic Métis "distinctiveness."

# **Decision**

[18] The MNBC Senate finds in favour of the Métis Nation British Columbia's Central Registry.

[19] It ought to be noted that should the parameters for MNBC citizenship change, or if the applicant discovers new information or documentation, that this decision does not limit or negate the applicant from reapplying for MNBC citizenship.